



European
Commission

Consumer Monitor 2022

EUROPEAN ALTERNATIVE FUELS OBSERVATORY



COUNTRY REPORT:
HUNGARY



Mobility and
Transport

The reuse policy of European Commission documents is implemented by [Commission Decision 2011/833/EU of 12 December 2011 on the use of Commission documents \(OJ L 330, 14.12.2011, p. 39\)](#). Unless otherwise noted, the reuse of this document is authorised under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). This means that reuse is allowed provided appropriate credit is given and any changes are indicated.

For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the European Union, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective rightholders. The European Union does not own the copyright in relation to the following elements:
Pictures and icons: ©Shutterstock.com



European Alternative Fuels Observatory

Authors: Lieselot Vanhaverbeke, Dennis Verbist, Gabriela Barrera, VUB-MOBI Electromobility Research Centre, Máté Csukas, FIER

Reviewers: Alexander Verduyn European Commission DG-MOVE, Iris Welvaarts, ANWB

Contents

1. The EAFO EU consumer monitor: key findings & conclusions	4
2. Consumer monitoring results: general population views on driving battery electric vehicles in Hungary.....	6
Socio-demographics general population	6
Attitude and motivation towards battery electric vehicles	7
Main barriers and opportunities to adopt battery electric vehicles	7
3. Consumer monitoring results: Mobility and recharging behaviour of battery electric drivers in Hungary	9
Socio-demographic indicators for the BEV driver	9
Mobility behaviour and vehicle ownership of battery electric drivers	10
Recharging behaviour of battery electric drivers	11
Main problems encountered by Hungarian BEV drivers when travelling abroad	14
4. Comparative results Hungary vs other EU countries	15
5. Hungary in the European context	17
AI. Consumer monitoring methodology & approach	18

1. The EAFO EU consumer monitor: key findings & conclusions

Through different European policies, the energy and transportation sectors are compelled to intensify the adoption of renewable energy sources and hasten the electrification of various transport modes. In particular, the recently approved Alternative Fuels Infrastructure Regulation (AFIR), sets a framework for an EU-wide approach for the deployment of refuelling and recharging infrastructure, including road transport electrification¹.

The European Alternative Fuels Observatory (EAFO) supports the EU transport electrification policies by providing information on the evolution of alternative fuel vehicles and recharging/refuelling infrastructure at the EU level and per country. The EAFO also includes a dedicated section for policy makers and consumers, addressing a wide range of stakeholders including different government levels, vehicle manufacturers and other e-mobility industry companies, automobile organisations, etc.

As part of the EAFO consumer section², a survey was launched in September 2022 in 10 EU countries³ to better understand consumers' intentions to adopt battery electric vehicles (BEVs), their e-mobility and recharging behaviour, and the challenges they perceive or encounter in this sense. Detailed information on the survey methodology is available in annex I. Please note that the 2022 '*elektrisch rijden monitor*'³ (Electric driving monitor) of the Dutch partner ANWB was used as an example. Moreover, there were two channels used to distribute the EAFO Consumer Monitor survey:

- A panel to have a representation of the general population including non-BEV and BEV drivers.
- The EAFO partner AVERE reached BEV drivers with a broad dissemination of the survey.

This report highlights the main findings of the 2022 EAFO Consumer Monitor survey focusing on passenger BEV cars, and presents the results for **Hungary** in two main parts:

Part 1 presents the surveyed Hungarian's attitude, interests, and the information that could support BEV (potential) drivers. It is based on the results of the Hungarian general population surveyed through the panel, which include 1,590 valid responses from BEV (20) and non-BEV drivers (1,570).

Part 2 focuses only on BEV drivers from both datasets by merging the panel and the AVERE dataset, with 321 valid responses in total. This gives an insight into the Hungarian BEV driver's e-mobility and recharging behaviour.

The report is complemented with a comparison of the results using key indicators for the ten countries surveyed and the EU aggregated results. Finally, this report includes an overview of the 2022 situation in Hungary in terms of passenger BEVs and recharging infrastructure using the EAFO's latest numbers.

For more than a decade, three main barriers have been identified regarding the mass up-take of passenger battery electric vehicles (BEVs): **purchase price, driving range and availability of recharging infrastructure**. There have been significant advances in this sense: battery costs have dropped by 90%, vehicle range has increased from 100-150 km up to 400+ km, there is an important growth in new BEVs registrations, and the recharging infrastructure network is expanding. Nevertheless, BEVs represent only 1,23% of the total passenger cars fleet in the EU, and the recharging infrastructure coverage is still limited in some countries and urban areas^{4,5}.

1 <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52021PC0559>

2 <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/consumer-portal>

3 [ANWB Elektrisch Rijden Monitor 2022. Rapportage consumenten perspectief elektrisch rijden](#)

4 [Van Mierlo, J., Berecibar, M., El Baghdadi, M., De Cauwer, C., Messagie, M., Coosemans, T., Jacobs, V. A., & Hegazy, O. \(2021\). Beyond the State of the Art of Electric Vehicles: A Fact-Based Paper of the Current and Prospective Electric Vehicle Technologies. *World Electric Vehicle Journal*, 12\(1\), 1-26. \[20\]](#)

5 <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/>

^{*} The ten countries surveyed were: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Slovenia, and Spain

Taking these barriers and developments into account, the **key findings of the 2022 EAFO consumer monitor are:**

- a. Focusing only on BEV driver respondents (part 2), the Hungarian BEV driver is represented as a 33–55-year-old male, living in a detached house and with a relatively low income who has a secondary education diploma.
- b. 44% of Hungarian participants are interested in BEVs and 20% are familiar with these. 31% consider buying a BEV in a time frame of 0–5 years. 62% have a positive attitude towards BEVs, and as in the other EU surveyed countries, the most important BEVs advantage is that these are better for the climate. Hungarian drivers also consider that BEVs are economical to use and identified this as an advantage.
- c. In the ten surveyed countries the number one BEVs disadvantage is their price. The Hungarian participants are willing to pay 15,000 € for a BEV and 44% of the BEV drivers paid a purchase price between less than 10,000 € and 20,000 €. 41% paid between 20,000 € and 40,000 €.
- d. BEVs' insufficient range is also considered a limitation. A minimum desired range between 300 km to 500 km was the choice of 40% of all Hungarian drivers surveyed. 500 km and more would be the preference of 29%.
- e. 44% of the surveyed Hungarians indicated that they are not aware of any subsidies for electric vehicle driving despite the financial support measures applied by the Hungarian government. Information on cost comparison with fossil fuel cars and on batteries and/or driving range were considered the most relevant to support electric driving.
- f. 99% of Hungarian BEV drivers use their vehicles daily or several times a week. Their BEV is mostly second-hand (51%) and privately owned (75%).
- g. As in the other ten countries surveyed the most important characteristic of a public recharging session is the recharging speed. For the BEV Hungarian drivers payments through a charging card or app are also important. The latter is the number one payment option in the ten EU countries. On the other hand, 21% of Hungarian BEV respondents do not always take the battery level into account when recharging.
- h. Limited recharging private or public options are also considered a disadvantage. The Hungarian BEV driver's frequency of use of public slow and fast recharging points is rather low when compared to the other ten EU countries surveyed (12% and 7% respectively). Moreover, 40% of Hungarian BEV drivers recharge often at home using a socket.

Thanks to these results, the following **conclusions could be made about the three main barriers identified:**

1. **BEV costs and desired range:** In March 2023 there were only twelve BEVs models available with a purchase price between 20,000 € to 35,000 €. These twelve models represent 5% of the total BEVs models in the market. The driving range barrier could be linked to a lack of affordable new BEVs with a range of 300 km or more⁶.
2. **BEV costs:** Information beyond the BEV purchase price, including the existing models' km range, the Total Cost of Ownership⁷, and the available financial support could help potential BEV drivers to have a clearer opinion on electric driving.
3. **BEV costs:** the second-hand and leasing options at an affordable price need to be further considered. As previously mentioned, 51% of the Hungarian BEV drivers bought a second-hand BEV, while 16% indicated that they lease a car as a second or third BEV.
4. **BEV range insufficiency:** The BEV range factory was enough for 85% of the Hungarian BEV drivers. 53% indicated a factory range of 200–400 km. Range satisfaction can be related to the km driven per day (135 km), and the main activity for which the BEV is used (commuting and work activities). Moreover, for holidays or trips beyond 500 km, the Hungarian BEV drivers considered problems related to 'charge anxiety' and 'BEVs' range anxiety' as relevant.
5. **Public recharging network:** BEV drivers do not have a clear overview of the public recharging points in their vicinity, if there are sufficient facilities in highway recharging stations, neither on how long it will take to fully recharge their BEV. In this sense, information on the recharging network was also one of the support measures that (potential) Hungarian BEV drivers would welcome.

Finally, the EAFO 2022 Consumer Monitor survey results also show the need to address those groups less represented among BEV drivers in Hungary (and in other EU countries) such as females, households having a lower income, or lower education level.

6 <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/consumer-portal/available-electric-vehicle-models>

7 <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/consumer-portal/calculator>

2. Consumer monitoring results: general population views on driving battery electric vehicles in Hungary

This section presents the **results of the Hungarian general population surveyed through the panel: 1,590 valid responses from BEV (20) and non-BEV drivers (1,570)**. It focuses on their attitude, interest and information that could support them to further drive BEV cars.

Socio-demographics general population

Based on the survey results, the **Hungarian BEV driver** is represented as a 33–55-year-old female, living in a detached house, and with a monthly income between 800 € and 1,999 € who has a secondary education diploma. The main differences when compared to Hungarian non-BEV drivers are the age group and that most of non-BEV drivers live in an apartment or studio.

Although most BEV drivers surveyed live in a detached house, 16% live in an apartment or studio, which could influence their recharging location options if they do not have access to a parking spot with a charging station/wallbox.


Table 1: Socio-demographic results from the survey for the general population

	BEV driver	Non-BEV driver
Gender		
Female	52%	54%
Male	48%	45%
Other	0%	1%
Age group		
-35	19%	26%
35-55	74%	38%
55+	7%	36%
Net income		
< 800 €	20%	35%
800-1999 €	41%	45%
2000-3999 €	34%	16%
4000-5999 €	5%	4%
≥ 6000 €	0%	0%
Education		
Early childhood-primary education	0%	7%
Secondary education	81%	65%
University or other higher education (e.g., college, polytechnic, academy)	19%	28%
Accommodation		
Apartment/studio	16%	35%
Semi-detached house	0%	2%
Attached house	0%	3%
Detached house	84%	59%
Other	0%	1%

Attitude and motivation towards battery electric vehicles in Hungary

One-fifth of respondents in **Hungary** indicated that they are (very) familiar with battery electric driving, 43% of them are interested in BEVs. 62% have a positive attitude towards BEVs. As in the case of the other nine countries surveyed, the main advantage of BEV is that these are better for the climate (no -tailpipe emissions). Moreover, Hungarian drivers also consider BEVs' economical use as an advantage.

Table 2: General population opinion and views on battery electric vehicles




Main barriers and opportunities to adopt battery electric vehicles

Disadvantages of driving battery electric vehicles in Hungary

Hungarian survey participants were asked to choose the five most relevant disadvantages of driving battery electric vehicles. As previously reported, these include the price of BEVs (and for the Hungarian drivers their operation and maintenance costs), limited recharging options (either private or public), and BEVs' range.


Figure 1: Hungarian drivers' top five identified disadvantages of battery electric vehicles



Time frame to buy a battery electric vehicle in Hungary

33% of the **Hungarian** respondents does not consider buying a battery electric vehicle. 31% would do so in a time frame of 0-5 years.


Figure 2: Hungarian drivers' time frame to buy a battery electric vehicle



Willingness to pay and desired range of a battery electric vehicle in Hungary

The median price that all **Hungarian** respondents are willing to pay for a used BEV is 40% of the price of a new car. When looking at only the BEV drivers (merged datasets) for 41% of them the purchase price paid is between 20,000 € and 40,000 €. 44% paid between less than 10,000 € up to 20,000 €.


Figure 3: Hungarian drivers' willingness to pay for a new & used battery electric vehicle



Desired range battery electric vehicle in Hungary

In the 2022 survey desired range was described as the number of km that can be driven with a full battery without recharging. A minimum desired range between 300 km to 500 km was the choice of 40% of all **Hungarian** drivers surveyed. 29% would prefer a range of 500 km and more.

Figure 4: Hungarian drivers desired range of a battery electric vehicle



The current BEV market consists of a considerable amount and variety of models (178) with a range between 300 and +600 km (models' variance in March 2023 according to the EAFO). On the other hand, there are only twelve BEVs models available with a purchase price between 20,000 € to 35,000 € (representing 5% of the total BEVs models in the market). These have an average range of 193 km (min 95 km, max 300 km), and include mostly cars in the A and B segments (supermini, e.g., city cars), with a couple of models in the C segment (compact, e.g., small family cars)⁸.

In Hungary, BEVs are exempt from registration, ownership, company, and property transfer taxes⁹.

Interestingly, 44% of the surveyed Hungarians indicated that they are not aware of any subsidies for electric driving and that they still will value more information on the cost comparison with fossil fuel cars and on batteries and/or driving range to have a clearer opinion about electric driving.

⁸ <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/consumer-portal/available-electric-vehicle-models>

⁹ <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/transport-mode/road/Hungary/incentives-legislations>

3. Consumer monitoring results: Mobility and recharging behaviour of battery electric drivers in Hungary

This section focuses on **BEV Hungarian drivers (only) from both datasets (the merged panel and AVERE dataset, with 321 valid responses in total)**. The results of the EAFO 2022 survey give an insight into who the Hungarian BEV driver is, their mobility behaviour, purchase cost and range expectation towards BEVs. Results on recharging behaviour are also presented.

Socio-demographic indicators for the BEV driver in Hungary

The table below shows the main socio-economic indicators of the BEV respondents. Based on the survey results and when looking at a bigger sample of **Hungarian BEV drivers (only)**, they are represented by a 33–55-year-old male in this case, living in a detached house, and with a monthly income between 800 € and 1,999 € who has a secondary education certificate.

Table 3: Socio-demographic results from the survey for the BEV Hungarian driver

Gender	
Female	13%
Male	87%
Other	0%
Age groups	
-35	3%
35-55	54%
55+	43%
Net income	
< 800 €	14%
800-1999 €	43%
2000-3999 €	31%
4000-5999 €	8%
≥ 6000 €	4%
Education	
Early childhood-primary education	7%
Secondary education	52%
University or other higher education (e.g., college, polytechnic, academy)	41%
Accommodation	
Apartment/studio	13%
Semi-detached house	4%
Detached house	3%
Attached house	80%
Other	0%

Mobility behaviour and vehicle ownership of battery electric drivers in Hungary

Almost all **Hungarian BEV drivers** surveyed use their vehicle several times a week or daily. A slightly more than the half of the BEVs are second-hand privately owned cars, driven by 'recent' BEV drivers.


Table 4: BEV driver behaviour and vehicle ownership

<1 year to 3 years as BEV driver	53%
3 years to 5 years or longer as a BEV driver	47%
Average km driven a year	17,854
Average km driven a day	135
BEV drivers using their vehicle daily to several times a week	99%
Main activity when driving their BEV	Commuting and work activities
BEV ownership	
Leased BEV	16%
BEV company car (if employee)	9%
Privately owned BEV	75%
New vs., second-hand BEVs	
New BEV	49%
Second-hand BEV	51%

Purchase price paid by BEV drivers in Hungary

41% of the **BEV Hungarian drivers** surveyed indicated that the purchase price paid for a BEV is between less than 20,000 € and 40,000 €. 44% paid between less than 10,000 € and 20,000 €.


Figure 5: Hungarian BEV drivers purchase price for their battery electric vehicle



Factory range and range satisfaction of fully electric cars used by BEV drivers in Hungary

Factory range refers to the km a new BEV can drive with the available vehicle battery after running a World Harmonised Light Vehicle Test Procedure (WLTP) test cycle. 53% of the **Hungarian BEV drivers** indicated a factory range of 200-400 km.

Figure 6: Factory range and range satisfaction according to Hungarian BEV drivers



It is noteworthy that a majority of Hungarian drivers who drive battery electric vehicles reported that the original range of their vehicles was sufficient when asked about it.


In addition, range satisfaction can be related to the km driven per day (135 km), and the main activity for which the BEV is used (commuting and work activities).

Recharging behaviour of battery electric drivers in Hungary

Recharging according to battery level and waiting time at public recharging points of Hungarian BEV drivers

Surveyed BEV Hungarian drivers were asked what the longest waiting time was to use a public recharging point. 37% never wait when this is occupied (they leave without recharging), while 22% waited for 15 minutes or less. Still, 36% waited between 15 minutes to 1 hour. Furthermore, 21% of respondents do not always take the battery level into account when recharging.


Figure 7: Hungarian drivers waiting times when using a public recharging point



Recharging location and frequency of use by BEV Hungarian drivers

For **BEV Hungarian drivers** a socket at home and a private recharging station or wallbox at home are the most frequently used location. Public slow recharging stations on the street or public parking and public fast recharging stations are not often used (12% and 7% respectively).

Figure 8: Recharging location and frequency of use by Hungarian BEV drivers



Important characteristics of a public recharging session for BEV Hungarian drivers

Hungarian BEV driver respondents were asked to indicate the most important characteristics of a public recharging session. The recharging speed for a quick session was the most important. Next to this, characteristics related to payment options were considered relevant, with having a subscription pass/app being chosen above an on-the-spot payment option. Moreover, the possibility to pay per kWh for a recharging session was also considered a convenient option.


Table 5: Important characteristics of a public recharging session

Recharging speed/power output of the recharging point, so I can get the quickest possible recharge	1
Easy access and payment via my recharging subscription (pass/app)	2
Possibility to pay per kWh only (instead of per minute or per session)	3
Short/no waiting time to access the recharging point, to avoid queuing	4
Clear and transparent price information, so I know how much I will be charged for my recharging session	5
Convenient on-the-spot payment options (e.g., debit/ credit card)	6
Possibility to do something else while your car recharges/amenities on site (food, coffee, toilets, etc)	7
Integrated cable, so there is no need to get the cable out of the trunk	8

BEV Hungarian drivers' opinion and payment options at public recharging points


Most **Hungarian BEV drivers** know which recharging connector is compatible with their car, know in advance if a recharging point is available, and know the origin of the electricity at the public recharging point. On the other hand, they do not have a clear overview of the public recharging points in their vicinity, if there are sufficient facilities in highway recharging stations, neither how long it will take to fully recharge their BEV. In this sense, information on the recharging network was also one of the support measures that potential Hungarian BEV drivers would welcome.

Figure 9: Public recharging points opinions of Hungarian BEV drivers



In the case of public recharging stations (both slow and fast), a charging card/app is the first option used. Workplace recharging stations mostly use other type of payments (e.g., free of charge, cash, etc.), while for semi-public recharging stations, both cards/apps and other means of payment are an option.

Figure 10: Payment options at recharging stations



Main problems encountered by Hungarian BEV drivers when travelling abroad

Holidays or other trips beyond 500 km were also an important activity for 29% of the **Hungarian BEV drivers**. When they were asked to rank the main problems they encountered when travelling abroad, the range limitations of their BEV came in the second place.

Table 6: Problems encountered when travelling abroad

I don't think I can recharge my car at my travel destination	1
Due to the limited range of my electric car, I would have to stop too often to recharge my car along the way	2
I don't have enough information about where to recharge while on the road	3
There are too few recharging stations along the way	4
Due to the slow and therefore long recharging times, I would lose too much time for recharging my car	5
I find it complicated or prohibitively expensive to pay for my recharging abroad (roaming issue)	6
I find it overly burdensome to plan my trip in function of my recharging needs	7

4.

Comparative results Hungary vs other EU countries

The number one BEV driving disadvantage identified in all cases is the purchase price of the cars. The percentage of potential BEV drivers in surveyed Hungarian participants is among the highest of the ten surveyed countries. Hungary has also the highest percentage of second-hand BEV cars. Moreover, the most important characteristic of a public recharging session in the ten EU countries surveyed is the recharging speed, with a charging card or app being the most used payment option at public recharging points.

Table 7: Barriers and opportunities BEV driving (general population)

Country	Main disadvantage	% BEV potential drivers	Not aware of subsidies for electric driving	Existing financial incentive (end 2022) ¹⁰
Austria	BEVs are too expensive	48%	34%	VAT deduction and exemption from tax for BEVs. No CO ₂ tax. Purchase subsidies.
Belgium		47%	54%	Limited or exemption from registration and ownership taxes at the regional level. Federal deduction of investments for companies. Limited or exemption on road taxes.
Denmark		56%	49%	Registration tax reductions. Company car tax deduction. Taxes on ownership are based on CO ₂ emissions.
France		40%	35%	Registration tax exemption. BEVs, FCEVs (fuel cell electric vehicles), and PHEVs (Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles -with a range of > 50km) are exempt from the mass-based malus. Purchase subsidies. Exemption from CO ₂ -based tax components.
Germany		53%	33%	Motor vehicles tax exemption. Company car tax deduction. Tax exemption for charging at the workplace. Exemption from the annual circulation tax for CO ₂ emission. Purchase subsidies.
Hungary		66%	44%	Tax-exempt from registration, ownership, company car tax and property transfer tax.
Italy		63%	45%	Ownership tax exemption for a period of five years after registration. Afterwards reduced rate (compared to petrol cars). Company car tax discount. Purchase subsidy.
Netherlands		49%	41%	No purchase & motor vehicle taxes. Minimum rate (16%) for company car tax. Purchase subsidy.
Slovenia		39%	47%	Purchase subsidy & long-term loans at subsidised rates. The minimum additional tax rate on acquisition.
Spain		67%	44%	Ownership tax reduction of 75% for BEVs in main cities. Purchase subsidies. BEVs are fully exempt from paying the car registration tax. Exemption from 'special tax' for CO ₂ emissions.
EU 10 countries		53%	42%	Financial support through grants and loans.

¹⁰ For more detailed overview, please refer to the "Incentives & legislation" page on the country report pages of [EAFO](#)

Table 8: Mobility & ownership of car BEV driver (merged datasets)

Country	3 years to 5 years or longer as BEV driver	BEV drivers using vehicle daily / several times a week	Leased BEV (business or private)	BEV company car (if employee)	Privately owned BEV	New BEV	Second-hand BEV
Austria	42%	95%	29%	11%	60%	73%	27%
Belgium	11%	90%	17%	28%	55%	60%	40%
Denmark	15%	90%	3%	3%	94%	50%	50%
France	46%	99%	20%	3%	77%	77%	23%
Germany	20%	92%	26%	9%	65%	79%	21%
Hungary	47%	99%	16%	9%	75%	49%	51%
Italy	28%	91%	16%	13%	71%	87%	13%
Netherlands	28%	97%	21%	16%	63%	70%	30%
Slovenia	45%	93%	61%	8%	31%	60%	40%
Spain	63%	97%	4%	12%	84%	77%	23%
EU 10 countries	38%	97%	22%	8%	70%	67%	33%

Table 9: Recharging behaviour BEV drivers (merged datasets)

Country	Waiting time 15 min or less at recharging point	Never waits for recharging point (leaves without recharging)	BEV drivers recharging often without/ not always considering battery level	% time using slow public recharging point daily/ per week	% time using fast public recharging point daily/ per week	Most important characteristic public recharging session	Most used payment option at slow & fast public recharging point
Austria	32%	46%	32%	9%	5%	Recharging speed to get the quickest possible recharge	Charging card or app
Belgium	25%	41%	64%	24%	9%		
Denmark	21%	14%	34%	47%	28%		
France	21%	31%	38%	18%	9%		
Germany	22%	44%	18%	14%	10%		
Hungary	22%	37%	21%	11%	8%		
Italy	17%	30%	45%	53%	22%		
Netherlands	30%	32%	31%	29%	16%		
Slovenia	20%	36%	43%	35%	13%		
Spain	21%	42%	31%	28%	14%		
EU 10 countries	25%	37%	30%	21%	10%		


5. Hungary in the European context

Hungary has a population of 8,932,664 inhabitants¹¹, with 59% of them living in urban areas. The Hungarian government has developed policies that promote climate-friendly, sustainable, and energy-efficient mobility¹². Different actions are taken in this sense, including road transport electrification.

The Hungarian government has implemented different incentives, including tax benefits, VAT exemptions and subsidies to support the uptake of electric vehicles and recharging infrastructure (an overview of these can be found in [EAFO incentives & legislation, Hungary](#)).


The EAFO portal shows that at the end of 2022 there were 16,010 battery electric vehicles cars in the country, with 5,082 registered that year. This means that 0.39% of the total passenger vehicles in Hungary are now fully electric.

Figure 11: Evolution of BEV cars in Hungary (EAFO portal)



Moreover, the public recharging infrastructure network has grown in the last couple of years. According to the EAFO portal, by the end of 2022, there were 2,868 (AC) slow public charging points, and 463 (DC) fast public ones¹³.

Figure 12: Evolution of recharging points in Hungary (EAFO portal)



11 <https://alternative-fuels-observatory.ec.europa.eu/transport-mode/road/Hungary>

12 https://energy.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2019-06/necp_factsheet_hu_final_0.pdf

13 The EAFO and the 2022 consumer monitor use the AFIR definition of recharging points. More information can be found at [Recharging systems | European Alternative Fuels Observatory \(europa.eu\)](#)

For the 2022 launch of the EAFO consumer monitor survey, ten countries¹⁴ were selected as the representative first scope to further promote the EAFO consumer monitor towards all EU-27 countries. Furthermore, the results of the ten countries surveyed are the basis for an EU aggregated report.

The survey was conducted using a panel on the general population of each of the selected countries. In parallel, the same survey was addressed to BEV drivers of each country via the EAFO partner AVERE. Both surveys were launched at the beginning of September 2022.

For the panel, the aim was to reach 2,000 responses per country.

The survey was open for 1 month. For the survey launched through AVERE, the threshold was to reach 100 respondents per country. To achieve this, the survey was closed at the beginning of December 2022.

The datasets were subjected to validation tests, including:

- Respondents should have completed the survey until the end and should have agreed to the terms and conditions of the survey.
- Respondents who filled out the survey in less than three minutes are excluded from the survey, as it was deemed impossible to fill out the survey thoroughly and in its entirety in under three minutes.
- Respondents who indicated unusually high values to open questions with continuous variables (kilometres driven in a day, kilometres driven in a year, purchase price of a BEV and purchase price of an ICEV) were excluded from the results.
- Respondents who indicated not owning a driver's licence were excluded.
- Respondents who came up with nonsensical patterns of answers to open questions were excluded.

The validation of the datasets was finalised in mid-October. For the AVERE dataset, the validation was carried out in early January 2023. A total of 1,590 responses were considered valid for the general population. Out of these, there were 1,570 non-BEV and 20 BEV drivers (1.3% of the total responses). For the AVERE data set, there were 301 valid responses from BEV drivers. The total number of BEV Hungarian drivers surveyed from both datasets is 321.

To compare and later weigh the results per education level, the respondent's answers were standardised and converted to the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) notation¹⁴. Moreover, respondents were considered BEV drivers when their first, second or third car is a BEV. The results of the survey were weighted according to education levels, age group and gender of the population, respective to each country. The survey weights were computed using the post-stratification and conditional variance estimation techniques. The resulting weights were trimmed between 0.3 and 3. The weighting process allows to make claims about the target population, instead of the survey sample alone. Because of the weighting process, all results are presented as a percentage of the total.

To improve the relevance of the analysis of the BEV drivers alone, the survey conducted on BEV drivers (from AVERE) was combined with the survey conducted on the general population. As previously mentioned, the total BEV responses after combining both data sets are 321. As a result of the choice to combine the datasets, the proportion of BEV drivers in the analysis is not representative of the population proportion. However, claims can be made about the BEV-driving population.

¹⁴ [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=International_Standard_Classification_of_Education_\(ISCED\)](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=International_Standard_Classification_of_Education_(ISCED))

* The ten countries surveyed were: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Slovenia, and Spain

Getting in touch with the EU

In person

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you online (european-union.europa.eu/contact-eu/meet-us_en).

On the phone or in writing

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696,
- via the following form: european-union.europa.eu/contact-eu/write-us_en.

FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

Online

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website (europa.eu).

EU publications

You can view or order EU publications at op.europa.eu/en/publications. Multiple copies of free publications can be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local documentation centre (european-union.europa.eu/contact-eu/meet-us_en).

EU law and related documents

For access to legal information from the EU, including all EU law since 1951 in all the official language versions, go to EUR-Lex (eur-lex.europa.eu).

Open data from the EU

The portal data.europa.eu provides access to open datasets from the EU institutions, bodies and agencies. These can be downloaded and reused for free, for both commercial and non-commercial purposes. The portal also provides access to a wealth of datasets from European countries.

